



Nanoparticles as alternatives of pesticides in management of plants diseases and their limitations

Muhammad Muazzam Naseer¹, Muhammad Waqar Mazhar^{2*} , Gull Zaman³, Mudassar BiBi⁴, Abdul Rehman⁵, Maryam Afzal⁵, Tehreem Fatima⁶ and Muhammad Naeem⁷

¹ Department of Biochemistry, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan

² Department of Bioinformatics and Biotechnology, Government College University, Faisalabad, Pakistan

³ University of Health Sciences Lahore, Lahore, Punjab, Pakistan

⁴ Faisalabad Institute of Cardiology, Faisalabad, Pakistan

⁵ Department of Biochemistry, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan

⁶ Liaquat University of Medical and Health Sciences, Jamshoro, Pakistan

⁷ Department of Bioinformatics and Biotechnology, Government College University, Faisalabad, Pakistan

Correspondence Author: Muhammad Waqar Mazhar

Received 2 Jul 2022; Accepted 5 Aug 2022; Published 22 Aug 2022

Abstract

This paper reviews the use of nanoparticles as nano-pesticides and have discussed the challenges to be solved before using these pesticides. Nanotechnology is a developing field that can ameliorate the growth of plants, particles have a characteristic size that is between 1nm to 100nm. Conventional pesticides have innumerable disadvantages for example Inadequate delivery of pesticides, the genesis of pollutants, etc. These pesticides need significant input sources of energy and water which is mainly the reason scientists are searching for eco-friendly and more efficient pesticides. Researchers/scientists worked on different metals such as silver, zinc, silica, and ENMs (Engineered Nano-materials) so that these can be used as bio-pesticides. These nanoscopic metals have the potential to enhance the growth and yield of crops. They are “green” alternatives used in place of traditional pest controls. Currently, there is no optimal knowledge about this nanoscopic matter therefore a better understanding of adverse impacts and their interactions need to be improved before their extensive use in plant production.

Keywords: nanopesticides, engineered nano-materials, insecticides, pesticides

Introduction

Nano-particles

The characteristic size ranges from 1 to 100 nm of nanoparticles (NPs) which are a subclass of ultrafine particles that exhibit characteristics distinct from those of other nano-fragments that have a parallel chemical composition (Auffan *et al.*, 2009) ^[5]. Mainly the reason for restricting to 100 nm is that a critical size of < 100 nm is often where special features that distinguish particles from the bulk material occur. However, the initial title "nano" is distinguished for dimensions that are less than 500 nm because other occurrences (namely clarity or turbidity, ultrafiltration, and steady dissemination) which rarely exceed the large demarcation line are taken into consideration (Somani *et al.*, 2020) ^[62]. Size, shape, crystalline, amorphous, and chemical composition are important factors that explain the properties of these materials about their use in pesticide application, including toxicity wide range of substances, including metal oxides, semiconductor quantum dots (QDs), carbon, silicates, lipids, polymers, dendrimers, emulsions, ceramics, proteins, and metals were employed to make particles in different forms and chemical compositions (Oskam, 2006) ^[47].

Although there are several substitutes, pest control nevertheless counts on the usage of pest killers, which are substances with

an organic chemical foundation that are applied to crops, commodities, or urban areas. Numerous pesticides on the market now still offer dangers to mammals since their main functioning mechanism intervenes in the insects' neurology. However, advanced assortments are considered worrisome regarding environmental aspects, for example, insect killers which are decomposers of ATP or insect growth regulators (IGRs), that have just been launched for general use and have slowly declined the usage of neuron-damaging compounds. In this respect, pesticide use has been linked to bioaccumulation, environmental pollution, and mammalian toxicity. These elements, together with the rising incidence of insect species developing resistance to many of the substances currently in use, provide significant issues for agriculture and may drastically reduce the number of efficient active constituents. It is necessary to implement novel pest-resistive concepts and cutting-edge pest management technology to address these issues (Athanassiou *et al.*, 2018) ^[4]. The primary drawbacks of current pest management methods are anticipated to be addressed in this extensive review article on pesticides, which will also present a novel, cutting-edge nano-based formulations. Recent developments in the field of pesticide research can be summed up as the means of nanotechnology in the conservation of yield. The study of fundamental

interactions between nanoscopic matter and insects, the formation of key components into nano-emulsions and dispersions by utilizing contemporary pesticides, the generation of the latest pesticide amalgams using substances as main pesticide agents, or by exploiting these scopic materials as carriers for their transport are all included in this field's broad research aspects. (Smith *et al.*, 2008; Yasur and Rani, 2013; Benelli, 2016; Benelli *et al.*, 2017) ^[61, 73, 8, 9].

Nanotechnology and agriculture

The branch of research known as "nano-pesticides" offers innovative methods for producing unique, nanoscale-sized active compounds, along with their composition and distribution. To achieve these objectives, technology is rapidly becoming a highly enticing study field. (Yasur and Rani, 2013) ^[73]. In agriculture, pesticides are unavoidably used in managing plant diseases and insects. However, the mounting application of these chemicals per hectare is leading to numerous environmental and healthcare risks. To alleviate the issues brought on by conventional insecticides, a brand-new branch of research known as technology has produced pesticides that are more effective while using fewer active components. The proportion of surface area to volume of the conveyer molecule or key component in pesticides is quite high, which gives them special exploitable benefits. For different modes of action and diverse uses, some formulations, including nano-emulsions, nanosuspensions, nanogels, and metal compound-based pesticides, have been created. The main benefit comes from the particles' small size, which aids in evenly dispersing the components on insect surfaces and produces a better effect than traditional pesticides. Due to their increased efficiency and lower dose requirements, the liberty to use particles as pesticides, fertilizers, and nano-delivery systems is growing every day.

biological systems. Therefore, for a sustainable transition, a deeper knowledge of their interactions and potential negative effects is also essential before their widespread use in crop production and crop protection. Biopesticides are made from a variety of species, such as fungi, nematodes, plants, bacteria, and other microorganisms. In the text given below are the key benefits of using biopesticides as natural substances instead of conventional pesticides.

The advantages of NP-based pharmaceutical preparations typically include

- Scaling solubility of key ingredients that are water-insoluble,
- Low exposure, with essentially no environmental issues
- Highly powerful in extremely little amounts,
- Only impact the specific pest and closely related organisms
- Improved formulation stability,
- Substitution of non-conventional insecticides for hazardous organic solvents,
- The ability to release active substances gradually,
- Increased stability to stop early deterioration,
- Increased insecticidal activity and enhanced mobility as a consequence of reduced particle size.
- A bigger surface area may increase their lifespan (Sasson *et al.*, 2007; Arora *et al.*, 2016) ^[57, 2].

Thus, as an IPM program component, biofertilizers can dramatically reduce the application of traditional pesticides while establishing crop yields to the maximum. The major problem is that for applications to be safe and successful, users must be well-versed in pest management strategies and must follow all label directions. (Seiber *et al.*, 2014; Arora *et al.*, 2016; UK, 2017) ^[58, 2, 67]. Two additional downsides include high susceptibility to particular pests and poor stability. (Polosky, 2015; Anwer, 2017) ^[52, 1].




Fig 1: An estimate of nanoparticles from comparison to daily life goods

Nano pesticides: employment of nanoparticles alone as pesticides

NPs with insecticidal features are undertaken as active pesticide agents or biopesticides (Elango *et al.*, 2016) ^[18]. The most relatable examples make use of amorphous silica that is formed from a number of organic materials, such as volcanic soil, scorched rice hulls, vegetable skin, and phytoplankton shell walls. These materials contain some particles that are larger than 1m, yet their microscopic pores are significantly smaller than 100 nm (Barik *et al.*, 2008) ^[7]. The cuticular lipids physisorbed silica particles, breaking down the buffer zone and destroying the insects only physically. This is akin to the way diatom particles work to keep insects out of grain storage (Barik *et al.*, 2008; Kavallieratos *et al.*, 2018) ^[7, 31]. The application of NPs on the surface of the leaf and stem of several horticultural and agricultural plants did not influence photosynthesis or respiration. As they did not affect the inheritable expressions in the trachea of insects, they were approved as a nano-pesticide. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), using silica in pesticide is unharmed for human beings. According to (Debnath *et al.*, 2011) ^[15] silica

However, during or after the application, the nano-entities can also affect people and other animals. It is currently mostly unknown how these created nano-entities interact with

particles killed all mature *Sitophilus oryzae*, rice weevils (*Coleoptera: Curculionidae*). By delicately coating seeds with it, it has been used to successfully stop growth of fungus and enhance grain germination (Robinson and Salejova-Zadrazilova, 2010) [55].




Fig 2: NPs' characteristics and how they affect pests

Nano-pesticide formulations

The water-resistant single-tail segments are sequestered in the center by the water-absorbing "head" sections interacting with the nearby solvent, and the nano-pesticides may be in the form of particles or an aqueous solution. They may also include organic materials (such as polymers) and elements of inorganic nature (e.g., oxides of metal). It is quite interesting to use technologies like controlled release methods and encapsulation to apply pesticides (Pavel and Creanga, 2005; Rai and Ingle, 2012) [49, 54]. Numerous companies provide formulations with NPs ranging in size from 100 to 250 nm. Some employ uniformly dispersed pesticidal nanoparticles (NPs), which can be oil- or water-based and range in size from 200 to 400 nm, in nanoscale suspensions (nano-emulsions). The emulsions are easily merged into gels and fluids and have a variety of applications for the treatment and conservation of the harvested product. Many terpene molecules are thought to be highly volatile and have antifeedant effects. The insecticidal cycle of action and shelf life of certain plant elixirs were dramatically enhanced by formulas that included nano-silica. Similar formulations with a more zeta potential monitored release of the floral ingredient, and longer shelf life of the isolated botanicals increased the biosynthetic pathway of the plant-based substances as well as the sustainability of the formulation (Madhusudhanamurthy *et al.*, 2013) [39].

System for delivering pesticides

The "pesticide delivery system" (PDS), an analogous strategy for pest management, was created after taking lessons from drug distribution techniques elaborated in medicine, where the employment of NPs to deliver drugs for medical care has been successful (Tsuji, 2001) [66]. The goal is to deliver the active components to a specific target at the appropriate amounts and times, that will provide the desired effect while retaining the optimum degree of biological viability and limiting any unfavorable side effects (Ghormade *et al.*, 2011) [21]. To

achieve the greatest biological efficacy and to reduce any possible negative effects, controlled administration is crucial for ensuring the best release of sufficient amounts of pesticides over time. (Tsuji, 2001) [66]. It is advantageous to employ NPs as nanocarriers because of their increased surface area, ease of attaching single to multiple pesticide corpuscles, and molecular diffusion is fairly quick to the target, which is the body of insects. Encapsulated pesticides are expected to discharge more consistently over time, necessitating their administration less frequently. This is against initial treatments that are highly concentrated and probably fatal and are followed by recurrent applications. Additionally, NPs delay the loss of potency brought on by degradation.

Preparations for lipid-based pesticides

Phospholipids, which may assemble themselves into many bilayers to define the aqueous phase, make up lipid-based nanocarriers. (Sala *et al.*, 2018) [56]. Due to their unique characteristics, such as physical and chemical storage stability, environmental protection, maximum adsorption capacity, and target-oriented smart release mechanism, these carriers are particularly effective for regulated active ingredient release (Zheng *et al.*, 2013) [76]. The usage of lipid-based nanoparticles as pesticide carriers is a relatively new topic of research, with only a few studies supporting this. Compared to alternative active ingredient distribution strategies like nano-polymers and nano-emulsions, several benefits of these lipid-based nanomaterials include reduced chemical depreciation and the consolidation of both hydrophobic and hydrophilic active components., and possible big corporate production (Li *et al.*, 2018) [36]. Without utilizing any UV absorbers, lipid carriers may be able to prevent the photo-degradation of active substances (Nguyen *et al.*, 2012) [44]. The biocide's ability to penetrate a plant's roots and then travel through the plant system to the targeted pest species is greatly influenced by the nanocarrier matrix's physical state (Chen *et al.*, 2010) [12]. Lyophilization is a widely used technique to increase the shelf life of these nanoliposomes. (Bang *et al.*, 2009) [6] initially disclosed the monitored release of pesticides by generating the nanoliposomes. (Kang *et al.*, 2012) [29] indicated that using traditional and nano-formulated active ingredients simultaneously could decrease the expense of manufacture and, subsequently, the incidence of application.

Metallic particles as key substituents and formulations

Metal and metal oxide Nanoparticles nature have numerous uses in health, environmental protection, and agricultural applications, mainly because of their competency and adaptability. When compared to other traditional formulations and microparticles, these nanoparticles have a high value of surface-to-volume fraction, more pore volumes and pore sizes, effective surface characteristics, and stable thermal behavior. (Vellingiri *et al.*, 2017; Nehra *et al.*, 2019) [70, 43]. The toxic impacts of traditional formulations could be reduced by these formulations, such as target avoidant activity, inadequate dissolving power, and ecosystem poisoning (He *et al.*, 2011; Pinto *et al.*, 2017) [24, 51].

Porous silica-based nano-pesticide formulations

Due to its simple and low-cost commercial manufacture, silica-based nano-formulations are new to the agriculture industry but are extensively employed in the biomedical industry. They are extremely effective delivery methods with particular surface characteristics, porosity, biocompatibility, increased loading capacities, and ecological safety (Liu *et al.*, 2014; Vaculikova *et al.*, 2015) [37, 69]. Nano-formulations related to porous silica are more suitable for agricultural applications than polymeric materials because they have a more flexible structural design and higher mechanical stability. (Lou *et al.*, 2008) [38]. Utilizing silicon particles may increase a plant's resistance to biotic and abiotic stressors (Barik *et al.*, 2008) [7]. Several pests that are crucial to agriculture have been successfully controlled using surface-charged hydrophobic silica particles (Ulrichs *et al.*, 2006) [68]. One of the more recent and well-liked planned infusions of agrochemicals, avermectin, was studied using porous hollow silica nanoparticles (PHSN) as pesticide carriers. (Wen *et al.*, 2005) [71]. They came to the conclusion that PHSNs might be used in targeted pesticide distribution applications because the PHSN carriers substantially slowed the discharge of the pesticide. Due to their enormous surface areas, NPs can more quickly absorb and bond with other substances, circulate effortlessly in lepidopteran systems, and may also be used to manufacture pesticides (Barik *et al.*, 2008) [7].

Silver-based pesticides

AgNMs (silver materials) have been demonstrated in several in vitro investigations to inhibit the proliferation of a variety of diseases (Dutta and Kaman, 2017) [17, 47]. Ag NM poisoning

mechanism is yet to be comprehended completely, but it is perceived to be predominantly caused by the release of ionic Ag+. A well-known mechanism of action for silver ions is the rupturing pathogen's membrane by binding to proteins having cysteine on the cell membrane (Servin *et al.*, 2015) [59]. One study found that exposure to biosynthesized silver NMs significantly decreased the spore count of *Alternaria solani* after 3. In one instance, tomato plants were sprayed with biosynthesized particles to protect them from the early blight disease brought on by *A. solani* in order to demonstrate the antibacterial capabilities of Ag particles. When compared to infected plants that weren't treated, the Ag material slowed the spread of disease by 49% (Kumari *et al.*, 2017) [35]. Comparatively to the untreated control, in vitro management of silver material decreased fungal conidial growth on *Bipolaris sorokiniana*. (Mishra *et al.*, 2014) [41]. The action of petroleum ether extract with silver metal particles offers an eco-friendly way to reduce *Meloidogyne incognita*. According to (Nassar, 2016) [42], evaluated the efficiency of *Urtica urens* extract with Ag particles against root-knot nematodes (*Meloidogyne incognita*). Three harmful bacteria, *S. aureus*, *E. coli*, and *P. aeruginosa*, and one helpful bacterium (*Bacillus subtilis*) were effectively controlled by the particles made of silver and other materials (Bryaskova *et al.*, 2011) [10]. (Krishnaraj *et al.*, 2012) [32]. Explain through his work that all of the plant pathogenic fungi tested (*A. alternata*, *S. sclerotiorum*, *M. phaseolina*, *R. solani*, *B. cinerea*, and *C. lunata*) were fungicidal at different concentrations. The pathogenicity of *Xanthomonas perforans* was reduced in vitro by Ag particles produced on DNA and graphene oxide (Ocoy *et al.*, 2013) [46].




Fig 3: Advantages of nano pesticides

Zinc-based nano-pesticides

ZnO nano-particles made from green synthesis have demonstrated activity against bacterial (*P. mirabilis*, *S. aureus*, www.synstojournals.com/biotech

S. marcescens, and *C. freundii*) and fungi (*A. flavus*, *A. niger*, *B.s cinerea*, *P. expansum*, and *A. nidulans*) (Gunalan *et al.*, 2012; Servin *et al.*, 2015) [23, 59]. The bacterial cell wall was

assumed to have been extensively damaged by the ROS generated on Zinc oxide materials, resulting in the inactivation of the organisms. Further, *F. graminearum* growth was inhibited by 75%, when exposed to mung bean broth agar and inhibited by 63% when exposed to sand amendment with ZnO NM for 7 days (Dimkpa *et al.*, 2013) [16]. Similarly to this, it has been reported that ZnO NMs plate assays can suppress growth (inhibitory zones to 19 and 22 mm) for *A. flavus* and *A. niger* respectively (Jayaseelan *et al.*, 2012) [26]. Additionally, *B. Cinerea* and *P. expansum* both had their development severely hindered by zinc oxide material at 3–12 mmol. (He *et al.*, 2011) [24]. Additionally, in vitro experiments have shown that ZnO particles suppressed *Pythium* isolates and reduced the radial development of *F. oxysporum* when compared to the corresponding controls (Zabrieski *et al.*, 2015; Elmer and White, 2016) [75, 19]. According to (Jayaseelan *et al.*, 2012) [26], zinc particles have an antimicrobial effect on *P. aeruginosa*. In an open-field pot experiment, a light-activated TiO₂/zinc material was created and tested for disease suppression (Paret *et al.*, 2013) [48]. Untreated Control comparison with, the bacterial leaf spot disease in rose plants caused by *Xanthomonas sp* was reduced by TiO₂/zinc material. Although the leaf spot disease was effectively suppressed by the nanoformulation, the concentration utilized was relatively high. To protect the grapefruit plant from the citrus canker lesion disease, two distinct zinc oxide materials, Zinkicide SG4 and Zinkicide SG6, were used in both field and greenhouse experiments brought on by *Xanthomonas citri subsp. Citri* (Graham *et al.*, 2016) [22].

Engineered nanomaterials (ENMs) as pesticides for controlling pathogenic diseases

The main goal of nano pesticide is to act as a suitable agricultural supplement with an enhanced ability to protect or reduce the severity of fungal, and bacterial diseases in plants. Accordingly, it is anticipated that these amendments will be more effective than conventional treatments with comparable chemical compositions that require lower dosages, and if not improve production, then maintain it. This is because of their nanoscale features. The use of ENMs (Engineered Nanomaterials) to protect plants from different types of diseases has recently attracted more attention. Notably, using ENM insecticides at lower rates than their conventional counterparts would prevent overapplication, and runoff of the active ingredients, which cause environmental. Along with these advantages, less energy and water would also be used in the production of the materials. Together, these things will also help farmers' pesticide input costs (Chhipa, 2017) [13].

The ENMs can function as substitutes for traditional pesticides have been shown in studies either as polymers or as stand-alone

materials, which has sparked an even greater interest in the development of activity against microbes that integrate materials (Chhipa, 2017) [13]. In order to create nano-enabled versions of conventional antimicrobials, a range of techniques have been used, such as polymeric materials with various morphologies (Kah and Hofmann, 2014) [27, 57].

ENMs as insecticides

The ENMs enhance plant production as insecticides and pathogenic nematodes, as well as herbicides, are gaining interest. However, compared to ENM use as antimicrobials, significantly less research has been done in this field. The few findings that are currently available imply that ENM platforms might offer efficient methods for the management of weed species and insect pests. Incorporating ENMs into conventional insecticides and herbicides via nano-enabled formulations can be used to enhance the solubility of the active particles. However, these formulations have the potential to be genotoxic by changing the structure of DNA and alternating the function of the electron transport chain. With the combination of these factors, cellular integrity may be impaired, ultimately leading to pathogen death. The documented disintegration of microbial cell walls and cell membranes indicates that this is how chitosan-based NMs most likely act (Kumaraswamy *et al.*, 2018) [34]. ROS formation may be induced by released ions and ENMs, which could disrupt a number of critical processes for target species penetrability, controlled release, and stability features. (Nuruzzaman *et al.*, 2016; Singh *et al.*, 2018) [45, 60]. Enhancing the accuracy and precision of drug delivery, reduces the amount of material leaked into the environment, similar to nano-activated antimicrobials and nano-fertilizers, thereby reducing unwanted impacts on non-target species and the environment (Yin *et al.*, 2018) [74]. It has been claimed that *C. maculatus*, *D. melanogaster*, *S. oryzae*, and *R. dominica* can all be protected against insect pests by using ENM to transport genetic material directly into host tissues (Prasad *et al.*, 2014) [53]. The gradual release of active chemicals from materials coated in polyethylene glycol (PEG) and in garlic essential oils serves as a second illustration. This increased the insecticidal efficiency of PEG against adult *Tribolium castaneum* (Yang *et al.*, 2009) [72]. *S. oryzae* and *R. dominica* were targeted by nanostructured alumina in one of the earliest investigations proving the insecticidal capability of NMs (Stadler *et al.*, 2010) [64]. The nano-alumina against different insects as insecticides has been further demonstrated in subsequent lab and field bioassays, including the leaf-cutting ant *A. lobicornis* (Buteler *et al.*, 2018) [11]. Nano-alumina is an amorphous material with significant supportive capabilities, which increases its insecticidal efficacy.

Tab 1: Summary of nanoparticles used as pesticides to manage crop diseases

Nanopesticides	Pathogens	Effect	Reference
Lipid-based NPs	-	Can prevent photo degeneration of active ingredients	Nguyen <i>et al.</i> , 2012 ^[44]
Lyophilization of lipid-based NPs	-	Shelf life prolong	Chen <i>et al.</i> , 2010 ^[12]
		Control the release of biopesticides	Bang <i>et al.</i> , 2009 ^[6]
Porous Hollow Silica NPs	Lepidopteran	Controlled delayed release of avermectin pesticides	Wen <i>et al.</i> , 2005 ^[71]
Sliver NPs	<i>Alternaria-solani</i>	Antifungal activity by reducing spore formation	Kumari <i>et al.</i> , 2017 ^[35]
	<i>Alternaria-solani</i>	Antimicrobial effect in tomato against early blight disease	Kumari <i>et al.</i> , 2017 ^[35]
Ag	<i>Bipolaris-sorokiniana</i>	Suppression of spot blotch disease in wheat	Mishra <i>et al.</i> , 2014 ^[41]
DNA-Directed Ag on graphene oxide	<i>Xanthomonas- perforans</i>	Suppression of bacterial spot disease in tomato plant	Ocsoy <i>et al.</i> , 2013 ^[46]
Ag formulation with <i>Urtica urens</i>	Nematodes (<i>Meloidogyne incognita</i>)	Against root-knot nematodes	Nassar <i>et al.</i> , 2016 ^[42]
ZnO NPs	<i>F.graminearum</i>	Growth inhibition in wheat	Dimkpa <i>et al.</i> , 2013 ^[16]
	<i>A. flavus & A.niger</i>	Growth inhibition	Jayaseelan <i>et al.</i> , 2012 ^[26]
	<i>F. oxysporum</i>	Reduce radial expansion	Elmer and White <i>et al.</i> , 2016 ^[19]
TiO/Zn	<i>Xanthomonas sp</i>	Reduced bacterial leaf spot disease in rose plant	Paret <i>et al.</i> , 2013 ^[48]
Zno Zinkicide SG4 & SG6	<i>Xanthomonas citri</i> subsp. <i>Citri</i>	Suppression of citrus canker lesion disease in grapefruits	Graham <i>et al.</i> , 2016 ^[22]
PEG NPs	<i>Tribolium castaneum</i>	Increased Insecticidal effect	Yang <i>et al.</i> , 2009 ^[72]
Nano-structured alumina	<i>S. oryzae & R. dominica</i>	Insecticidal effect	Stadler <i>et al.</i> , 2010 ^[64]
Silica	<i>Sitophilus oryzae</i>	Kill the organism	Nguyen <i>et al.</i> , 2012 ^[44]

Discussion and conclusion

Benefits of nano-pesticides

In contrast to standard pesticide formulations, nano-formulations are designed to enhance the solubility of poorly soluble active materials and to release the biocide in a specific amount and targeted manner. (Margulis-Goshen and Magdassi, 2013) ^[40]. This means that a lower amount of an active ingredient per area is required for use and sustained delivery of the active ingredients can be provided which can be effective over longer periods. As a result, the reduced dose also results in decreased production costs, non-target impacts, and phytotoxicity. Controlled-release formulations must also be inert until the active component is released, which is crucial.

The most often manufactured controlled-release formulations are nano-capsules, nanospheres, nanogels, and micelles, and different types of physical and chemical procedures are detailed for their creation. The regulated release of hydrophobic active components in aqueous solutions with excellent selectivity and without impairing the biocidal action may be made possible by nanoencapsulation with a polymer matrix (Petu *et al.*, 2010) ^[50].

Limitations and knowledge gap

As the world becomes increasingly populated and industrialized, so too does the number of pests and diseases. To combat this growing problem, researchers are looking to develop new, more effective ways to control these pests. One such method is through the use of nano-scale pesticides. Nano-scale pesticides are those that are smaller than 100 nm. They are able to travel deep into cells, disrupting their functions. This makes nano-scale pesticides much more effective than traditional pesticides at controlling pests. One of the main benefits of using nano-scale pesticides is that they are less harmful to humans and the environment. Traditional pesticides can be dangerous if they are ingested or contact the skin. Nano-scale pesticides, on the other hand, are not harmful when they contact the skin or are ingested. Another advantage of using nano-scale pesticides is that they can be used in a variety of settings. Traditional pesticides are only effective in areas that are treated with them. Nano-scale pesticides can be used wherever there is a pest problem. This makes them a more versatile tool for controlling pests. There are still some challenges that need to be overcome before nano-scale pesticides can become mainstream. One such challenge is ensuring that these pesticides are effective at controlling pests. Researchers need to find a way to create nano-scale pesticide products that are effective and safe for use.

This paper reviews the use of nanoparticles as nano-pesticides. Nanotechnology is an emerging field with applications in the improvement of plant yield with less hazardous effects. Researchers/scientists worked on different nano-metals such as silver, zinc, silica, and ENMs so that these can be used as bio-pesticides. These biopesticides are eco-friendly and more efficient pesticides than conventional chemical pesticides. They are “green” alternatives used in place of traditional pest controls. Current, there is a lot of work needed to do in the nano-pesticides area because a better understanding of adverse

impacts and their interactions need to be improved before their extensive use in plant production. There is great potential for silver-nano pesticides in the future as they continue to be developed and refined. However, there are many challenges that need to be overcome before these technologies can become widely used. One major challenge is the lack of toxicity data for these chemicals. Until this data is available, it will be difficult for manufacturers and regulators to determine whether or not these pesticides are safe to use. Another challenge is cost. Silver-nano pesticides typically require more testing and processing than traditional pesticides, which means that they are likely to be more expensive. Finally, there is a need for better understanding of how silica-nano pesticides interact with the environment and other organisms. Until this knowledge is available, it will be difficult to determine whether or not these technologies are effective in controlling pests.

The future of lipid-based nano pesticides looks promising. Nano pesticides are becoming increasingly popular because they are smaller and more efficient than traditional pesticides, and they have fewer environmental impacts. There are a number of reasons why lipid-based nano pesticides may be particularly well suited for use in agriculture. One advantage of using nano pesticides is that they can reach the target plant more effectively than traditional pesticides. Nano pesticides are smaller and faster acting, so they can kill the target plant faster than traditional pesticides. This means that less chemical is required to kill the plant, which reduces the environmental impact of the pesticide. Additionally, nano pesticides are more specific than traditional pesticides. They can target only certain types of bacteria or fungi, which makes them more effective at controlling pests. Another advantage of using nano pesticides is that they are less harmful to humans and the environment. Nano pesticides are designed to kill specific types of bacteria or fungi, so they do not produce as many harmful side effects as traditional pesticides. Additionally, nano pesticides are less likely to bioaccumulate in the environment. Bioaccumulation refers to the process by which chemicals accumulate in organisms over time, leading to increased levels in the body and in the environment. Nano pesticides are unlikely to bioaccumulate because they are too small to be absorbed into plants and animals. Overall, there seems to be a lot of potential for lipid-based nano pesticides in agriculture. They are effective, environmentally friendly, and specific enough to target only certain types of pests. While there are some challenges associated with using nano pesticides, such as development costs and limited availability, these problems seem likely to be overcome in the future.

The future of engineered nanomaterials as pesticides is promising. There are a number of reasons for this. First, the technology is still relatively new, and there is a lot of research being conducted into how to use these materials in a way that is effective and safe. Second, the potential applications for engineered nanomaterials as pesticides are wide-ranging and varied. This means that it is potential for these materials to be used in a variety of different ways, which could lead to their widespread use in the future. Finally, the growing concern over the health and environmental effects of traditional pesticides

has led many farmers and growers to explore alternatives, and engineered nanomaterials could be one such option.

Although ENMs have shown promise in a variety of agricultural applications, significant limitations and knowledge gaps still exist. As mentioned above, more research is needed, including soil and fields, to show the effectiveness and, more importantly, the reproducibility of ENM effects in real farming situations. In addition, several variables including material properties (size/morphology/coating), crop species, exposure dose, presence of pathogens, and timing of application influence the beneficial effects of ENMs as plant disease suppressants. Therefore, choosing the right type, dose, and method of administration of ENM is essential to achieving positive results. It should be noted that most ENMs are metallic in composition. As a result, the widespread use of such ENMs can lead to metal-bearing soils. Therefore, careful drug dose and an effective and targeted administration strategy are required before use (Kashyap *et al.*, 2015; Gao and Lowry, 2018; Kumar *et al.*, 2019)^[30, 20, 33]. Precautions must be taken to ensure that nano pesticides do not harm symbiotic microbial species in the plant or the soil, or non-target bacteria that promote plant growth. In addition, given the evolutionary pressures leading to microbial pathogens developing resistance after repeated use of conventional pesticides, a critical assessment of the likelihood that ENM-based nano pesticides will show a similar trend should be made. Nano-pesticides and nano-fertilizers undoubtedly hold enormous promise for nanotechnology-assisted agriculture. However, more research is needed before they are widely used.

Environmental consideration regarding nano-pesticides

Nano-pesticides are a new and innovative technology and have been shown to be effective in controlling pest populations. However, it is essential to consider the potential environmental impacts that these materials may have. As nano-pesticides are so small, they can travel easily through the environment and are more likely to accumulate and bioaccumulate in soils, water, and other organisms. This could lead to potential environmental damage, such as the accumulation of these materials in the food chain, which could lead to adverse health effects for humans and other organisms. Additionally, as these materials are so small, they could potentially become airborne and travel long distances, leading to potential contamination of pristine areas. It is also important to consider the impact of nano-pesticides on beneficial organisms, such as pollinators, which could be adversely impacted by using these materials. Thus, it is important to ensure that careful consideration is given to the potential environmental impacts of nano-pesticides before they are used. The fact that nano pesticides cause less environmental pollution through lower pesticide application rates and fewer losses is one of the arguments in favor of them over conventional pesticides (Jasrotia *et al.*, 2018)^[25]. On the other hand, because of the improved transit, extended permanence, and increased toxicity, they might present a fresh contamination issue for soils and aquatic bodies. Due to their huge surface area, nanoparticles can degrade quickly in sunlight, decreasing the effectiveness of their active

components. Similar to large droplet sizes, small droplet sizes may cause nanodroplets to evaporate before they reach their target. Another important topic that needs research is how nano-formulations interact with different trophic levels of bacteria, plants, and animals. Furthermore, it is unknown how pesticide nano-formulations will affect the soil, groundwater, and creatures that are not their intended targets. The active components' release into the environment is governed by the characteristics of the nanomaterials and their dispersion within the matrix of the nano-formulation. According to reports, nontarget creatures may be harmed by the prolonged delayed release of nanoparticles (Kah and Hofmann, 2014)^[27]. Most commonly utilized nanocarriers in nano-formulations are easily biodegradable like natural polymers, polysaccharides, or lipids. However, minimal worry has been expressed over the usage of metal and metal oxides as non-biodegradable nanocarriers. Furthermore, compared to non-encapsulated pesticides, the majority of manufactured nanoparticles are for necessary release, causing exposure to nano-formulations in an inhibited manner. It can be shown that the bulk of studies on evaluating the environmental effects of nano-formulations has been conducted at the laboratory level and on the assessment of the environmental consequences of nano-formulations under field circumstances (Kah *et al.*, 2018)^[28].

There have also been reports of the phytotoxic effects of nanoparticles on various plant systems. With the application of Ag NPs, rice crops' seed germination and seedling growth decreased (Thuesombat *et al.*, 2014)^[65], whilst tomatoes' root elongation significantly decreased (Song *et al.*, 2013)^[63]. TiO₂ NPs prevented the growth of the leaves, transpiration, and the hydraulic conductivity of the roots in maize seedlings (Asli and Neumann, 2009)^[3]. The possible toxicity of silver nanoparticles on *Nicotiana tabacum* was reported by (Cvjetko *et al.*, 2018)^[14]. More research is needed to fully analyze the possible dangers of nanoparticles on crop plants because the mechanisms are still poorly understood. The bacteria in the soil have a complex relationship with nanoparticles and influence their environmental fate in a variety of ways. Beneficial bacteria in soil aid in the decomposition of organic matter, recycling of nutrients, suppression of illness, and improvement of growth. According to reports, the use of nano-formulations may have a detrimental effect on the microbial population of the soil, which could ultimately degrade the soil's quality and agricultural viability.

Acknowledgement

This research study is self-funded.

Availability of data and materials

The data associated with a paper is available, the data availability on demand through email contact of co-author.

References

1. Anwer MA. Biopesticides and bioagents: novel tools for pest management. CRC Press, 2017.
2. Arora NK, Mehnaz S, Balestrini R. Bioformulations: for sustainable agriculture. Springer, 2016.

3. Asli S, Neumann PM. Colloidal suspensions of clay or titanium dioxide nanoparticles can inhibit leaf growth and transpiration via physical effects on root water transport. *Plant, cell & environment*. 2009;32:577-584.
4. Athanassiou C, Kavallieratos N, Benelli G, Losic D, Usha Rani P, Desneux N. Nanoparticles for pest control: current status and future perspectives. *Journal of Pest Science*. 2018;91:1-15.
5. Auffan M, Rose J, Bottero J-Y, Lowry GV, Jolivet JP, Wiesner MR. Towards a definition of inorganic nanoparticles from an environmental, health and safety perspective. *Nature Nanotechnology*. 2009;4:634-641.
6. Bang S, Yu Y, Hwang I, Park H. Formation of size-controlled nano carrier systems by self-assembly. *Journal of Microencapsulation*. 2009;26:722-733.
7. Barik T, Sahu B, Swain V. Nanosilica-from medicine to pest control. *Parasitology Research*. 2008;103:253-258.
8. Benelli G. Plant-mediated biosynthesis of nanoparticles as an emerging tool against mosquitoes of medical and veterinary importance: a review. *Parasitology Research*. 2016;115:23-34.
9. Benelli G, Pavela R, Maggi F, Petrelli R, Nicoletti M. Commentary: making green pesticides greener? The potential of plant products for nanosynthesis and pest control. *Journal of Cluster Science*. 2017;28:3-10.
10. Bryaskova R, Pencheva D, Nikolov S, Kantardjiev T. Synthesis and comparative study on the antimicrobial activity of hybrid materials based on silver nanoparticles (AgNps) stabilized by polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP). *Journal of Chemical Biology*. 2011;4:185-191.
11. Buteler M, Lopez Garcia G, Stadler T. Potential of nanostructured alumina for leaf-cutting ants *Acromyrmex lobicornis* (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) management. *Austral Entomology*. 2018;57:292-296.
12. Chen C, Han D, Cai C, Tang X. An overview of liposome lyophilization and its future potential. *Journal of Controlled Release*. 2010;142:299-311.
13. Chhipa H. Nanofertilizers and nanopesticides for agriculture. *Environmental Chemistry Letters*. 2017;15:15-22.
14. Cvjetko P, Zovko M, Štefanić PP, Biba R, Tkalec M, Domijan AM, *et al.* Phytotoxic effects of silver nanoparticles in tobacco plants. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*. 2018;25:5590-5602.
15. Debnath N, Das S, Seth D, Chandra R, Bhattacharya SC, Goswami A. Entomotoxic effect of silica nanoparticles against *Sitophilus oryzae* (L.). *Journal of Pest Science*. 2011;84:99-105.
16. Dimkpa CO, JE McLean, DW Britt, Anderson AJ. Antifungal activity of ZnO nanoparticles and their interactive effect with a biocontrol bacterium on growth antagonism of the plant pathogen *Fusarium graminearum*. *Biometals*. 2013;26:913-924.
17. Dutta P, Kaman P. Nanocentric plant health management with special reference to silver. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. Appl. Sci*. 2017;6:2821-2830.
18. Elango G, Roopan SM, Dhamodaran KI, Elumalai K, Al-Dhabi NA, Arasu MV. Spectroscopic investigation of biosynthesized nickel nanoparticles and its larvicidal, pesticidal activities. *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology B: Biology*. 2016;162:162-167.
19. Elmer WH, White JC. The use of metallic oxide nanoparticles to enhance growth of tomatoes and eggplants in disease infested soil or soilless medium. *Environmental Science: Nano*. 2016;3:1072-1079.
20. Gao X, Lowry GV. Progress towards standardized and validated characterizations for measuring physicochemical properties of manufactured nanomaterials relevant to nano health and safety risks. *Nano Impact*. 2018;9:14-30.
21. Ghormade V, Deshpande MV, Paknikar KM. Perspectives for nano-biotechnology enabled protection and nutrition of plants. *Biotechnology Advances*. 2011;29:792-803.
22. Graham JH, Johnson E, Myers ME, Young M, Rajasekaran P, Das S, *et al.* Potential of nano-formulated zinc oxide for control of citrus canker on grapefruit trees. *Plant Disease*. 2016;100:2442-2447.
23. Gunalan S, Sivaraj R, Rajendran V. Green synthesized ZnO nanoparticles against bacterial and fungal pathogens. *Progress in Natural Science: Materials International*. 2012;22:693-700.
24. He L, Liu Y, Mustapha A, Lin M. Antifungal activity of zinc oxide nanoparticles against *Botrytis cinerea* and *Penicillium expansum*. *Microbiological Research*. 2011;166:207-215.
25. Jasrotia P, Kashyap PL, Bhardwaj AK, Kumar S, Singh G. Scope and applications of nanotechnology for wheat production: A review of recent advances. *Wheat Barley Res*. 2018;10:1-14.
26. Jayaseelan C, Rahuman AA, Kirthi AV, Marimuthu S, Santhoshkumar T, Bagavan A, *et al.* Novel microbial route to synthesize ZnO nanoparticles using *Aeromonas hydrophila* and their activity against pathogenic bacteria and fungi. *Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy*. 2012;90:78-84.
27. Kah M, Hofmann T. Nanopesticide research: current trends and future priorities. *Environment International*. 2014;63:224-235.
28. Kah M, Kookana RS, Gogos A, Bucheli TD. A critical evaluation of nanopesticides and nanofertilizers against their conventional analogues. *Nature Nanotechnology*. 2018;13:677-684.
29. Kang MA, Seo MJ, Hwang IC, Jang C, Park HJ, Yu YM, *et al.* Insecticidal activity and feeding behavior of the green peach aphid, *Myzus persicae*, after treatment with nano types of pyrifluquinazon. *Journal of Asia-Pacific Entomology*. 2012;15:533-541.
30. Kashyap PL, Xiang X, Heiden P. Chitosan Nanoparticle based delivery systems for sustainable agriculture. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*. 2015;77:36-51.

31. Kavallieratos NG, Athanassiou CG, Peteinatos GG, Boukouvala MC, Benelli G. Insecticidal effect and impact of fitness of three diatomaceous earths on different maize hybrids for the eco-friendly control of the invasive stored-product pest *Prostephanus truncatus* (Horn). *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*. 2018;25:10407-10417.
32. Krishnaraj C, Jagan E, Ramachandran R, Abirami S, Mohan N, Kalaichelvan P. Effect of biologically synthesized silver nanoparticles on *Bacopa monnieri* (Linn.) Wettst. plant growth metabolism. *Process Biochemistry*. 2012;47:651-658.
33. Kumar S, Nehra M, Dilbaghi N, Marrazza G, Hassan AA, Kim KH. Nano-based smart pesticide formulations: Emerging opportunities for agriculture. *Journal of Controlled Release*. 2019;294:131-153.
34. Kumaraswamy R, Kumari S, Choudhary RC, Pal A, Raliya R, Biswas P, *et al.* Engineered chitosan based Nanomaterials: Bioactivities, mechanisms and perspectives in plant protection and growth. *International journal of Biological Macromolecules*. 2018;113:494-506.
35. Kumari M, Pandey S, Bhattacharya A, Mishra A, Nautiyal C. Protective role of biosynthesized silver nanoparticles against early blight disease in *Solanum lycopersicum*. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*. 2017;121:216-225.
36. Li X, Ke M, Zhang M, Peijnenburg W, Fan X, Xu J, *et al.* The interactive effects of diclofop-methyl and silver nanoparticles on *Arabidopsis thaliana*: Growth, photosynthesis and antioxidant system. *Environmental Pollution*. 2018;232:212-219.
37. Liu W, Yao J, Cai M, Chai H, Zhang C, Sun J, *et al.* Synthesis of a novel nanopesticide and its potential toxic effect on soil microbial activity. *Journal of Nanoparticle Research*. 2014;16:1-13.
38. Lou XW, Archer LA, Yang Z. Hollow micro-/nanostructures: synthesis and applications. *Advanced Materials*. 2008;20:3987-4019.
39. Madhusudhanamurthy J, Rani PU, Rao K. Organic-Inorganic hybrids of nano silica and certain botanical compounds for their improved bioactivity against agricultural pests. *Current Trends in Biotechnology and Pharmacy*. 2013;7:615-624.
40. Margulis-Goshen K, Magdassi S. Nanotechnology: an advanced approach to the development of potent insecticides. In: *Advanced technologies for managing insect pests*. Springer, 2013;295-314.
41. Mishra S, Singh BR, Singh A, Keswani C, Naqvi AH, Singh H. Biofabricated silver nanoparticles act as a strong fungicide against *Bipolaris sorokiniana* causing spot blotch disease in wheat. *Plos One*. 2014;9: e97881.
42. Nassar A. Research Article Effectiveness of Silver Nanoparticles of Extracts of *Urtica urens* (Urticaceae) Against Root-knot Nematode *Meloidogyne incognita*. *Asian J. Nematol*. 2016;5:14-19.
43. Nehra M, Dilbaghi N, Hassan AA, Kumar S. Carbon-based nanomaterials for the development of sensitive nanosensor platforms. In: *Advances in Nanosensors for Biological and Environmental Analysis*. Elsevier, 2019, 1-25.
44. Nguyen H, Hwang I, Park J, Park H. Enhanced payload and photo-protection for pesticides using nanostructured lipid carriers with corn oil as liquid lipid. *Journal of Microencapsulation*. 2012;29:596-604.
45. Nuruzzaman M, Rahman MM, Liu Y, Naidu R. Nanoencapsulation, nano-guard for pesticides: a new window for safe application. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*. 2016;64:1447-1483.
46. Ocoy I, Paret ML, Ocoy MA, Kunwar S, Chen T, You M, Tan W. Nanotechnology in plant disease management: DNA-directed silver nanoparticles on graphene oxide as an antibacterial against *Xanthomonas perforans*. *ACS Nano*. 2013;7:8972-8980.
47. Oskam G. Metal oxide nanoparticles: synthesis, characterization and application. *Journal of sol-gel Science and Technology*. 2006;37:161-164.
48. Paret ML, Palmateer AJ, Knox GW. Evaluation of a light-activated nanoparticle formulation of titanium dioxide with zinc for management of bacterial leaf spot on rosa 'Noare'. *HortScience*. 2013;48:189-192.
49. Pavel A, Creanga DE. Chromosomal aberrations in plants under magnetic fluid influence. *Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials*. 2005;289:469-472.
50. Petcu SF, Oancea F, Siciua OA, Constantinescu F, Dinu S. Responsive polymers for crop protection. *Polymers*. 2010;2:229-251.
51. Pinto RV, Antunes F, Pires J, Graca V, Brandao P, Pinto ML. Vitamin B3 metal-organic frameworks as potential delivery vehicles for therapeutic nitric oxide. *Acta Biomaterialia*. 2017;51:66-74.
52. Polosky Z. 21st Century homestead: biological pest control. *Lulu. Com*, 2015.
53. Prasad R, Kumar V, Prasad KS. Nanotechnology in sustainable agriculture: present concerns and future aspects. *African journal of Biotechnology*. 2014;13:705-713.
54. Rai M, Ingle A. Role of nanotechnology in agriculture with special reference to management of insect pests. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*. 2012;94:287-293.
55. Robinson D, Salejova-Zadrazilova G. *Observatory Nano. Nanotechnologies for nutrient and biocide delivery in agricultural production. Working Paper Version*, 2010.
56. Sala M, Diab R, Elaissari A, Fessi H. Lipid nanocarriers as skin drug delivery systems: Properties, mechanisms of skin interactions and medical applications. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics*. 2018;535:1-17.
57. Sasson Y, Levy-Ruso G, Toledano O, Ishaaya I. Nanosuspensions: emerging novel agrochemical formulations. In: *Insecticides design using advanced technologies*. Springer, 2007;1-39.

58. Seiber JN, Coats J, Duke SO, Gross AD. Biopesticides: state of the art and future opportunities. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*. 2014;62:11613-11619.
59. Servin A, Elmer W, A Mukherjee, La Torre-Roche D, Hamdi H, White JC, *et al.* A review of the use of engineered nanomaterials to suppress plant disease and enhance crop yield. *Journal of Nanoparticle Research*. 2015;17:1-21.
60. Singh HB, S Mishra, LF Fraceto, R De Lima. *Emerging trends in agri-nanotechnology: Fundamental and Applied Aspects*, 2018.
61. Smith K, Evans DA, El-Hiti GA. Role of modern chemistry in sustainable arable crop protection. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. 2008;363:623-637.
62. Somani C, Joshi P, Gugalia G, Mehta P, Yadav DK. Application of Nano Particles as an Emulsion in Insect Management. *Insect Science and Experiment*, 2020;107.
63. Song U, Jun H, Waldman B, Roh J, Kim Y, Yi J, *et al.* Functional analyses of nanoparticle toxicity: a comparative study of the effects of TiO₂ and Ag on tomatoes (*Lycopersicon esculentum*). *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*. 2013;93:60-67.
64. Stadler T, Buteler M, Weaver DK. Novel use of nanostructured alumina as an insecticide. *Pest Management Science: Formerly Pesticide Science*. 2010;66:577-579.
65. Thuesombat P, Hannongbua S, Akasit S, Chadchawan S. Effect of silver nanoparticles on rice (*Oryza sativa* L. cv. KDML 105) seed germination and seedling growth. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*. 2014;104:302-309.
66. Tsuji K. Microencapsulation of pesticides and their improved handling safety. *Journal of Microencapsulation*. 2001;18:137-147.
67. UK C. What are biopesticides? What are biopesticides? 2017.
68. Ulrichs C, Krause F, Rocks T, Goswami A, Mewis I. Electrostatic application of inert silica Dust based insecticides onto plant surfaces. *Communications in Agricultural and Applied Biological Sciences*. 2006;71:171-178.
69. Vaculikova E, Placha D, Jampilek J. Toxicology of drug nanocarriers. *Chemické Listy*, 2015;109.
70. Vellingiri K, Philip L, Kim KH. Metal-organic frameworks as media for the catalytic degradation of chemical warfare agents. *Coordination Chemistry Reviews*. 2017;353:159-179.
71. Wen LX, Li ZZ, Zou HK, Liu AQ, Chen JF. Controlled release of avermectin from porous hollow silica nanoparticles. *Pest Management Science: Formerly Pesticide Science*. 2005;61:583-590.
72. Yang FL, Li XG, Zhu F, Lei CL. Structural characterization of nanoparticles loaded with garlic essential oil and their insecticidal activity against *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst) (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae). *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*. 2009;57:10156-10162.
73. Yasur J, Rani PU. Environmental effects of nanosilver: impact on castor seed germination, seedling growth, and plant physiology. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*. 2013;20:8636-8648.
74. Yin J, Wang Y, Gilbertson LM. Opportunities to advance sustainable design of nano-enabled agriculture identified through a literature review. *Environmental Science: Nano*. 2018;5:11-26.
75. Zabrieski Z, Morrell E, Hortin J, Dimkpa C, McLean J, Britt D, *et al.* Pesticidal activity of metal oxide nanoparticles on plant pathogenic isolates of *Pythium*. *Ecotoxicology*. 2015;24:1305-1314.
76. Zheng M, Falkeborg M, Zheng Y, Yang T, Xu X. Formulation and characterization of nanostructured lipid carriers containing a mixed lipids core. *Colloids and surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*. 2013;430:76-84.